

Quadro-quadric special birational transformations from projective spaces to smooth complete intersections

Qifeng Li

Abstract

Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Z$ be a birational transformation with a smooth connected base locus scheme, where $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r+c}$ is a nondegenerate prime Fano manifold. We call ϕ a quadro-quadric special birational transformation if ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are defined by linear subsystems of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2)|$ and $|\mathcal{O}_Z(2)|$ respectively. In this paper we classify quadro-quadric special birational transformations in the cases where either (i) Z is a complete intersection and the base locus scheme of ϕ^{-1} is smooth, or (ii) Z is a hypersurface.

Keywords: Birational transformations, QEL-manifolds, Complete intersections.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 14E05, 14N05.

1 Introduction

We work over the complex number field. Varieties are assumed to be irreducible and reduced unless otherwise stated. A smooth projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is called a *prime Fano manifold* if $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_V(1))$ and V is covered by lines. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a rational map defined by an N -dimensional linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(a)|$, and Z be the closure of the image. Assume $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Z$ is birational, and $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a prime Fano manifold. Denote by X (resp. Y) the base locus scheme of ϕ (resp. ϕ^{-1}). If moreover X is smooth and connected, then we call ϕ a *special birational transformation*. Assume that ϕ^{-1} is defined by a linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_Z(b)|$. Then ϕ is said to be of type (a, b) . We call ϕ a *quadratic* (resp. *quadro-quadric*) special birational transformation if $a = 2$ (resp. $a = b = 2$).

It is hard to classify special birational transformations of type (a, b) , even if Z is a projective space. Fu and Hwang classified special birational transformation of type $(2, 1)$ in [6]. Ein and Shepherd-Barron showed in [3] that if $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ is a quadro-quadric special birational transformation, then X and Y are Severi varieties. Alzati and Sierra classified in [2] quadro-quadric special birational transformations to LQEL-manifolds. Staglianò studied in [17] quadratic special birational transformations to hypersurfaces. In particular, he described quadro-quadric special birational transformations to smooth quadric hypersurfaces.

Our work is motivated by [17]. The first main result in this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Z$ be a quadro-quadric special birational transformation. Assume that $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ is a nondegenerate smooth hypersurface. Denote by X (resp. Y) the base locus scheme of ϕ (resp. ϕ^{-1}). Then Z is a quadric hypersurface, Y is a Severi variety and X is a nonsingular hyperplane section of a Severi variety.*

Remark that there is a classification of Severi varieties due to Zak (see Proposition 2.2 in the following). The key observation for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is that the VMRT $Z^{(1)}$ of Z is covered by lines, which was proved in [2] and implies that the index $i(Z^{(1)}) \geq 2$. On the other hand, as Z is smooth hypersurface, we have $i(Z^{(1)}) = r + 1 - \frac{\deg(Z)(\deg(Z)+1)}{2}$. Combining with the properties of X , we get $\dim(X) \leq 33$, and the possible values of $\dim(X)$ and r . Most cases can be ruled out in a standard way with the help of the Divisibility Theorem for QEL-manifolds, except one case with $\dim(X) = 25$ and $r = 43$, where we need to explore some delicate structure of entry loci of QEL-manifolds.

For the complete intersection cases, we get the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Z$ be a quadro-quadric special birational transformation with base locus scheme X . Assume that $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection, and the base locus scheme Y of ϕ^{-1} is smooth. Then Z is a quadric hypersurface, Y is a Severi variety and X is a nonsingular hyperplane section of a Severi variety.*

The key point is that by studying the secant variety of Y , we can show that Y is a Severi variety and that Z is a complete intersection of quadric hypersurfaces. Then the theorem follows from a technique result on Severi varieties.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some facts about Severi varieties, quadratic manifolds, QEL-manifolds, and conic-connected manifolds. In Section 3, we study quadratic special birational transformations to prime Fano manifolds. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we prove Proposition 3.17, which claims the non-existence of certain quadratic QEL-manifolds, and helps to complete our proofs in the previous sections.

Acknowledgements. It is my great pleasure to thank Baohua Fu for a lot of discussions and suggestions. I also want to express the gratitude to Alberto Alzati, Giovanni Staglianò and Fedor L. Zak for communications.

2 Preliminaries

This section is to collect some facts about Severi varieties, quadratic manifolds, QEL-manifolds, and conic-connected manifolds, which will be frequently used.

2.1 Severi varieties

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a closed subvariety. The secant variety $\text{Sec}(V)$ of V is the closure of the union of the secant lines of V . The nonnegative integer $\delta(V) := 2 \dim(V) + 1 - \dim(\text{Sec}(V))$ is called the *secant defect* of V . We have the following linear normality theorem due to Zak:

Proposition 2.1. ([19, Cor. V.1.13]) Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety of dimension n with secant defect δ . If $\text{Sec}(V) \neq \mathbb{P}^r$, then $\delta \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $n \leq \frac{2}{3}(r-2)$.

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety. If $\dim(V) = \frac{2}{3}(r-2)$ and $\text{Sec}(V) \neq \mathbb{P}^r$, then V is called a *Severi variety*. A famous result of F. L. Zak is the following classification.

Proposition 2.2. ([19, Thm. IV.4.7]) A Severi variety is projectively equivalent to one of the following:

- (a) the Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$;
- (b) the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$;
- (c) the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{G}(1, 5) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$;
- (d) the E_6 -variety $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{26}$.

2.2 Quadratic manifolds

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety covered by lines. Take a point $v \in V$. Denote by $T_v V$ and $\mathbb{T}_v V$ the affine tangent space and the embedded tangent space of V at v , respectively. Let $\mathcal{L}_v(V) \subseteq \mathbb{P}((T_v V)^*)$ be the variety of lines in V passing through v . When v is a general point in V , we call $\mathcal{L}_v(V)$ the *variety of minimal rational tangents* (VMRT for short) of V at v , and if there is no confusion, we denote by $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_v(V)$. Denote by $V^{(0)} = V$ and $V^{(i+1)}$ the VMRT (if exists) of $V^{(i)}$ at a general point $v_i \in V^{(i)}$. If $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a smooth projective variety covered by lines, then $V^{(1)}$ is a (possibly reducible) smooth projective variety (see [7, Prop. 1.5]).

A smooth projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is called a *quadratic manifold*, if it is the scheme-theoretic intersection of quadric hypersurfaces. If $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a quadratic manifold covered by lines, then $V^{(1)}$ is a (possibly reducible) quadratic manifold (see [16, Prop. 2.2]).

2.3 QEL-manifolds

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a projective variety. For a point $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$, denote by $C_u(V)$ the closure of the union of secant lines of V passing through u . Let $\Sigma_u(V)$ be the closure of the set of points $v \in V$ such that there is a secant line of V passing through u and v . We call $C_u(V)$ the *secant cone* of u in $\text{Sec}(V)$ and call $\Sigma_u(V)$ the *entry locus* of u in V . When there is no confusion, denote by $C_u = C_u(V)$ and $\Sigma_u = \Sigma_u(V)$.

A smooth projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is called a *QEL-manifold* if for a general point $u \in \text{Sec}(V)$, the entry locus Σ_u is a $\delta(V)$ -dimensional quadric hypersurface. When a QEL-manifold is also a quadratic manifold, we call it a *quadratic QEL-manifold*.

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate QEL-manifold of dimension n with secant defect δ . If $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^r$, then V is linearly normal. Now assume $\delta > 0$. Then for a general point $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$, Σ_u is an irreducible smooth quadric hypersurface of dimension δ . Through two general points in V there passes a

unique δ -dimensional quadric hypersurface. Moreover, this quadric hypersurface is irreducible and smooth. Assume $\text{Sec}(V) \neq \mathbb{P}^r$ and $\delta > 0$. Let L be a linear subspace such that $\dim(L) = r - \dim(\text{Sec}(V)) - 1$ and $L \cap \text{Sec}(V) = \emptyset$. Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1-\delta}$ the linear projection from L . Then $\pi(V)$ is not a QEL-manifold, since for a general point $u \in \mathbb{P}^{2n+1-\delta}$, $\Sigma_u(\pi(V))$ has $\deg(\text{Sec}(V))$ irreducible components. For details of the discussions in this paragraph, see [15, page 600-601].

The following Proposition is from [15, Thm. 2.1, Thm. 2.3, Thm. 2.8] and [4, Thm. 3]. The assertion (ii) is known as the Divisibility Theorem for QEL-manifolds.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a QEL-manifold of dimension n with secant defect $\delta > 0$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *If $\delta \geq 3$, then V is a prime Fano manifold. Moreover, $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension $\frac{n+\delta}{2} - 2$ with secant defect $\delta - 2$, and $\text{Sec}(V^{(1)}) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*
- (ii) *If $\delta \geq 3$, then 2^{r_V} divides $n - \delta$, where $r_V = \lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{2} \rfloor$.*
- (iii) *If $3 \leq \delta < n$, then $\delta \leq 2[\log_2 n] + 2$.*
- (iv) *If V is a prime Fano manifold, then the index $i(V) = \frac{n+\delta}{2}$.*

The following two Propositions are due to Russo (see [15, Cor. 3.1, Cor. 3.2]), while the statements are slightly different from that in [15]. For statements here, see [6, Prop. 4.7, Prop. 4.8, Remark 4.9].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate QEL-manifold of dimension n with secant defect $\delta > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\delta < n$. Then $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

- (a) *the Segre threefold $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$;*
- (b) *the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$;*
- (c) *the 10-dimensional Spinor variety $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$;*
- (d) *a nonsingular hyperplane section of (b) or (c).*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate QEL-manifold of dimension n with secant defect $\delta = \frac{n}{2}$. Then $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

- (a) *a nonsingular hyperplane section of the Segre threefold $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$;*
- (b) *the Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$;*
- (c) *the Segre fourfold $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^7$;*
- (d) *the Segre fourfold $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$;*
- (e) *a nonsingular codimension-2 linear section of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$;*
- (f) *a nonsingular codimension-2 linear section of $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$;*
- (g) *the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{G}(1, 5) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$;*
- (h) *the E_6 -variety $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{26}$.*

2.4 Conic-connected manifolds

A nondegenerate smooth projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is said to be a *conic-connected manifold*, if through two general points of V there passes an irre-

ducible conic contained in V . There is a classification of conic-connected manifolds due to Ionescu and Russo as follows:

Proposition 2.6. (*[9, Thm. 2.2]*) *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a linearly normal nondegenerate conic-connected manifold of dimension n . Then either $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a prime Fano manifold of index $i(V) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, or it is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

- (i) *the second Veronese embedding $v_2(\mathbb{P}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}}$;*
- (ii) *the projection of $v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)$ from the linear space $\langle v_2(\mathbb{P}^m) \rangle$, where $\mathbb{P}^m \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a linear space with $0 \leq m \leq n-2$ and $r = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} - 1$;*
- (iii) *the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^{n-m} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$, where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and $r = m(n-m) + n$;*
- (iv) *a nonsingular hyperplane section of the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1-m} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$, where $2 \leq m \leq n-1$ and $r = m(n+1-m) + n-1$.*

Remark 2.7. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be as in Proposition 2.6(ii). By [5, Lem. 3.6], $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is projectively equivalent to the VMRT of the symplectic Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_\omega(n-m, 2n+1-m)$ at a general point. Then $\dim(\text{Sec}(V)) = 2n$ by [5, Lem. 4.19]. Hence, either $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^r$ or $\dim(\text{Sec}(V)) \leq r-2$. Furthermore, $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^r$ if and only if $m = n-2$, and in this case V is a general hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$ by [5, Lem. 3.7].

Note that QEL-manifolds with positive secant defects are conic-connected manifolds. As a direct consequence, we get the following

Corollary 2.8. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a QEL-manifold with secant defect $\delta > 0$. Assume that $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^r$. Then either $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a prime Fano manifold, or it is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

- (a) *a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^2$;*
- (b) *the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$;*
- (c) *a nonsingular hyperplane section of (b).*

3 Quadratic special birational transformations

Now we fix some notations in this paper. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Z$ be a special birational transformation of type $(2, b)$. We always assume $b \geq 2$, and $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a nondegenerate prime Fano manifold. Let X (resp. Y) be the base locus scheme of ϕ (resp. ϕ^{-1}). Denote by n (resp. m) the dimension of X (resp. Y), δ the secant defect of X , and $c = N - r$. Let $\sigma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^r$ along X . There is a natural morphism $\tau : W \rightarrow Z$ such that $\phi = \tau \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Denote by $E_{\mathbb{P}} = \sigma^{-1}(X)$, $E_Z = \tau^{-1}(Y)$ (scheme theoretically), $D_{\mathbb{P}} = \tau(E_{\mathbb{P}})$, and $H_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ (resp. $H_Z \subseteq Z$) a hyperplane section.

3.1 Properties of X and $Z^{(1)}$

Now we recall some facts on X , most of which are from [3, Prop. 1.3] and [1, Prop. 1, Prop. 2, Prop. 3, Rmk. 2], except the linear normality of X is $\mathbb{P}^r$ follows from [17, Prop. 4.4(1)].

Proposition 3.1. (i) $\text{Sec}(X) = \sigma(E_Z) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a hypersurface of degree $2b - 1$, and $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$;

(ii) $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a nondegenerate linearly normal quadratic QEL-manifold;

(iii) $\sigma^*H_{\mathbb{P}} = b\tau^*H_Z - E_Z$, and $\tau^*H_Z = 2\sigma^*H_{\mathbb{P}} - E_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $\text{Pic}(W)$;

(iv) $m = 2n - 2\delta$.

Proposition 3.2. ([2, Prop. 2.12]) Take a general point $z \in Z$. Let $p \in \phi^{-1}(z)$. Denote by $\pi_p : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1} = \mathbb{P}((T_z Z)^*)$ the linear projection from p . Then π_p has definition on every point of X , $\pi_p(X) \subseteq Z^{(1)}$ and $\pi_p|_X : X \rightarrow \pi_p(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.3. ([2, Cor. 2.15])

(i) $Z^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is smooth irreducible and non-degenerate;

(ii) $\dim(Z^{(1)}) = n + (b - 1)(\delta + 1)$;

(iii) if $b = 2$, then $Z^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is covered by lines.

A projective variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is called a *complete intersection of type* $(d_1, \dots, d_c)$, if it is the complete intersection of c independent hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1, \dots, d_c$, respectively.

Proposition 3.4. ([10, Thm. 2.4(3)]) Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection of type $(d_1, \dots, d_c)$. Assume that V is covered by lines. Then $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-c-1}$ is a smooth complete intersection of type $(2, 3, \dots, d_1, \dots, 2, 3, \dots, d_c)$.

Proposition 3.5. ([12, Thm. 1.1]) Let $V \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^r$ be an n -dimensional nondegenerate smooth projective variety and let $S \subseteq V$ be an s -dimensional closed subvariety. Assume that either

(i) $s \geq \frac{r}{2}$; or

(ii) V is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^r$, and $s > \frac{n}{2}$.

Then $\deg(V)$ divides $\deg(S)$.

As an application of previous results, we have the following

Proposition 3.6. Assume that either

(i) $\delta \geq 1$; or

(ii) $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection of type $(d_1, \dots, d_c)$ such that $(c, d_1) \neq (1, 2)$.

Then $\deg(Z^{(1)})$ divides $\deg(X)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(i), $Z^{(1)}$ is an irreducible smooth closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. By Proposition 3.2, there is a linear projection on $\mathbb{P}^r$ sending X isomorphically to a closed subvariety X' of $Z^{(1)}$. In particular, $\dim(X') = \dim(X)$ and $\deg(X') = \deg(X)$.

(i) By Proposition 3.1(i), $2\dim(X) = 2n \geq r - 1$. Thus, $\deg(Z^{(1)})$ divides $\deg(X') = \deg(X)$ by Proposition 3.5.

(ii) Let $d = \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$. By assumption, $d \geq 2$. By (i), we can assume that

$\delta = 0$. By Proposition 3.4, $Z^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a smooth complete intersection of dimension $r - d - 1 \leq r - 3$. Then $\dim(Z^{(1)}) < 2\dim(X)$ by Proposition 3.1(i). Thus, $\deg(Z^{(1)})$ divides $\deg(X') = \deg(X)$ by Proposition 3.5. $\square$

3.2 Properties of Y

Proposition 3.7. $D_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq Z$ is a divisor, $\deg(D_{\mathbb{P}}) = (2b-1)\deg(Z)$, and $Y \subseteq D_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq \text{Sec}(Y)$.

Proof. The discussion is an analogue of [3, Prop. 2.3]. Remark that $\tau|_{E_{\mathbb{P}}} : E_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{P}}$ is birational, and $D_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq Z$ is a divisor. By the projection formula and Proposition 3.1(iii),

$$\deg(D_{\mathbb{P}}) = D_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot H_Z^{r-1} = E_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot (\tau^* H_Z)^{r-1} = ((2b-1)\tau^* H_Z - 2E_Z) \cdot (\tau^* H_Z)^{r-1} = (2b-1)\deg(Z).$$

Take a general point $y \in Y$ and an irreducible curve $C \subseteq \tau^{-1}(y)$. Then

$$E_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot C = ((2b-1)\tau^* H_Z - 2E_Z) \cdot C = -2E_Z \cdot C > 0.$$

In particular, $E_{\mathbb{P}} \cap \tau^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $y \in \tau(E_{\mathbb{P}})$ and $Y \subseteq \tau(E_{\mathbb{P}}) = D_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Let $z \in D_{\mathbb{P}}$ be a general point. Then $\tau^{-1}(z) = \{w\} \subseteq E_{\mathbb{P}}$. Moreover, $L = \sigma^{-1}\sigma(w)$ is a linear space contained in $E_{\mathbb{P}}$, and $\tau|_L : L \rightarrow \tau(L)$ is birational. Take a general line l in L passing through w . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_Z \cdot l &= ((2b-1)\sigma^* H_{\mathbb{P}} - bE_{\mathbb{P}}) \cdot l = b, \\ H_Z \cdot \tau(l) &= \tau^* H_Z \cdot l = (2\sigma^* H_{\mathbb{P}} - E_{\mathbb{P}}) \cdot l = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tau(l)$ is a line contained in $D_{\mathbb{P}}$ passing through z , and it intersects Y at b points. By assumption, $b \geq 2$. Hence, $z \in \text{Sec}(Y)$ and $D_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq \text{Sec}(Y)$. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. Y is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^N$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, it suffices to show that $D_{\mathbb{P}}$ is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^N$. We assume that there is a hyperplane $\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ containing $D_{\mathbb{P}}$. Then $\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \cap Z$ is of pure dimension $r-1$, and $\deg(D_{\mathbb{P}}) \leq \deg(\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \cap Z) \leq \deg(Z)$. This contradicts Proposition 3.7, since $b \geq 2$. $\square$

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety. Denote by S_V the closure of the set of triples (v_1, v_2, u) in $V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^N$ such that v_1 and v_2 are distinct points and $u \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. Let p_i be the i -th projection from S_V , and $p_{12} : S_V \rightarrow V \times V$ the projection to $V \times V$. For any point $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$, denote by $\tilde{\Sigma}_u = p_1(p_3^{-1}(u))$. Remark that when $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$ is a general point, we have $\tilde{\Sigma}_u = \Sigma_u$.

Lemma 3.9. Assume $b = 2$. For any point (if exists) $z \in (\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z) \setminus D_{\mathbb{P}}$, we have $C_z \cap Z \subseteq Y \cup \{z\}$. In particular, $\{z\}$ is the unique irreducible component of $C_z \cap Z$ containing z .

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, $Y \subseteq D_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $z \notin Y$. Hence, $\tilde{\Sigma}_z$ is well-defined. Take an arbitrary point $y \in \tilde{\Sigma}_z$. Then the line $l = \langle y, z \rangle$ is either a secant line or a tangent line of Y .

To get the conclusion, it suffices to show $l \cap Z \subseteq Y \cup \{z\}$. Assume that there is a point $z' \in (l \cap Z) \setminus (Y \cup \{z\})$. Then $\phi^{-1}(z) = \phi^{-1}(z')$, since ϕ^{-1} is defined by quadric hypersurfaces, and l is either a secant line or a limit of secant lines of Y . This implies that $\phi^{-1}(z)$ lies in the base locus X of ϕ . Hence, $z \in \tau\sigma^{-1}(X) = D_{\mathbb{P}}$, which contradicts the choice of z . $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *Assume $b = 2$. If Z is a quadratic manifold, then $\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z = D_{\mathbb{P}}$.*

Proof. Take any point $z \in (\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z) \setminus Y$ and any point $y \in \tilde{\Sigma}_z$. Then the line $l = \langle y, z \rangle$ is either a secant line or a tangent line of Y . Since Z is a quadratic manifold, and l intersects Z at three or more points (counted with multiplicities), we get that $l \subseteq Z$. Since ϕ^{-1} is defined by quadric hypersurfaces, $\phi^{-1}(l \setminus (l \cap Y))$ is a point. Then $l \subseteq \tau\sigma^{-1}(X) = D_{\mathbb{P}}$. Thus, $\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z \subseteq D_{\mathbb{P}} \cup Y$. By Proposition 3.7, $Y \subseteq D_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq \text{Sec}(Y)$. Hence, $\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z = D_{\mathbb{P}}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *Assume $b = 2$. If Y is smooth, then $c \geq n - 2\delta$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1(i)(iv), $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$ and $m = 2n - 2\delta$. Assume that $c < n - 2\delta$. Then $m > \frac{2}{3}(N - 2)$. Since Y is smooth and nondegenerate, the secant variety $\text{Sec}(Y) = \mathbb{P}^N$ by Proposition 2.1. In particular, $Z \subseteq \text{Sec}(Y)$. Take an arbitrary point $z \in Z \setminus D_{\mathbb{P}}$. By Lemma 3.9, $\{z\}$ is the unique irreducible component of $C_z \cap Z$ containing z . Let M be a maximal dimensional irreducible component of C_z . Then

$$\dim(M) = \dim(C_z) = \dim(\Sigma_z) + 1 \geq \delta(Y) + 1 = 2m + 2 - \dim(\text{Sec}(Y)) = 2n - 3\delta - c.$$

Since C_z is a cone over the vertex z , we get $z \in M \cap Z$. Thus, $\{z\}$ is an irreducible component of $M \cap Z$. Hence,

$$\dim\{z\} \geq \dim(M) + \dim(Z) - \dim(\mathbb{P}^N) \geq 2n - 3\delta - 2c > \delta \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. $\square$

3.3 Cases with large δ

Remark that X is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^r$ and $\text{Sec}(X) \neq \mathbb{P}^r$ by Proposition 3.1(i). Thus, $\delta \leq \frac{n}{2}$ by Proposition 2.1. If $\delta = \frac{n}{2}$, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a Severi variety by definition. In this case, we know the following result due to Ein and Shepherd-Barron.

Proposition 3.12. ([3, Thm. 2.6]) *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ be a special birational transformation of type (a, b) with base locus scheme V . Then $(a, b) = (2, 2)$ if and only if $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a Severi variety. Moreover, if $(a, b) = (2, 2)$ then Φ^{-1} is also a special birational transformation of type $(2, 2)$.*

Proposition 3.13. *We have $\delta < \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1(i)(ii), $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a nondegenerate quadratic QEL-manifold and $\text{Sec}(X) \neq \mathbb{P}^r$. Then $\delta \leq \frac{n}{2}$ by Proposition 2.1. If $\delta = \frac{n}{2}$, then X is a Severi variety by definition, and $Z = \mathbb{P}^r$ by Proposition 3.12. This contradicts our assumption $Z \neq \mathbb{P}^r$. $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *Assume that $\delta > 0$. Then either $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a prime Fano manifold with index $\frac{n+\delta}{2}$, or it is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

- (a) *the second Veronese embedding $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$;*
- (b) *the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$;*
- (c) *a nonsingular hyperplane of (b);*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1(ii), X is a nondegenerate linearly normal QEL-manifold. Thus, X is conic-connected. By Proposition 2.6, $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is either a prime Fano manifold with index $i(X) = \frac{n+\delta}{2}$, or it is projectively equivalent to the cases (i) – (iv) listed there. Now assume the latter case holds. By Proposition 3.1(i) $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$. Hence, the only possible case in (i) is (a), the only possible case in (iii) is (b), and the only possible case in (iv) is (c). By Remark 2.7, there is no case in (ii) satisfying $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$. $\square$

Definition 3.15. A QEL-manifold $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is called a *Special-QEL-manifold* (SQEL-manifold for short), if a general point $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$ satisfies that for any point $u' \in C_u \setminus V$, we have $\Sigma_{u'} = \Sigma_u$.

Example 3.16. By the proof of [3, Prop. 2.3] (see also [2, Rmk. 2.4]), X is a quadratic SQEL-manifold.

The following Proposition will be proved in Section 5.

Proposition 3.17. *There does not exist any nondegenerate 25-dimensional quadratic SQEL-manifold $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{43}$ with secant defect 9.*

Now we can rule out some cases with $\delta > \frac{n}{3}$.

Proposition 3.18. *If $\delta > \frac{n}{3}$, then $(n, \delta) = (7, 3), (10, 4), (13, 5), (14, 6)$, or $(15, 7)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.13, $\frac{n}{3} < \delta < \frac{n}{2}$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (ii), we get $\delta \leq 10$ and the following list for the possible values of the pair (n, δ) : $(5, 2), (7, 3), (10, 4), (13, 5), (14, 6), (15, 7), (25, 9)$ and $(26, 10)$. One can also find this list in [8, Prop. 3.6]. Now it suffices to exclude the three cases not appearing in the conclusion.

Case 1. $(n, \delta, r) = (5, 2, 10)$: It is excluded by Proposition 3.14, since $n + \delta$ is odd.

Case 2. $(n, \delta, r) = (25, 9, 43)$: By Example 3.16, X is a quadratic SQEL-manifold. Then the existence of such X contradicts Proposition 3.17.

Case 3. $(n, \delta, r) = (26, 10, 44)$. By Proposition 2.3(i), $X^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{25}$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension 16 with secant defect 8 and $\text{Sec}(X^{(1)}) = \mathbb{P}^{25}$. However, such a QEL-manifold as $X^{(1)}$ does not exist by Proposition 2.5. $\square$

Remark 3.19. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{P}^{r+1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ be a quadro-quadric special birational transformation. By Proposition 3.12, if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is the section of the base locus scheme of Φ by a general hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$, then $\phi = \Phi|_H : H \dashrightarrow \Phi(H)$ is a birational map defined by quadric hypersurfaces. Moreover, $\Phi(H)$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface (see for example [17, Example 5.1]). This shows the possibility of $(n, \delta) = (7, 3)$ and $(15, 7)$ in Proposition 3.18. For the rest three cases there, we believe they are impossible. When $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ is a nondegenerate smooth hypersurface, we can rule out them (see Proposition 4.8 in the following).

4 Quadro-quadric special birational transformations to smooth complete intersections

Unless otherwise stated, we assume throughout this section that $b = 2$, and $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^{r+c}$ is a nondegenerate smooth complete intersection of type $(d_1, \dots, d_c)$, where $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_c \geq 2$.

4.1 Basic formulae

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $n \geq 2$. Then $\delta > 0$ and $\text{Pic}(Z^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(1))$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(ii), $Z^{(1)}$ is an irreducible smooth projective variety of dimension $n + \delta + 1 \geq 3$. By Proposition 3.4, $Z^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a complete intersection. Then $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(Z^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(k))$ is surjective for any integer k . By the Lefschetz Theorem on complete intersections, $\text{Pic}(Z^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(1))$.

Now we assume that $\delta = 0$. By Proposition 3.2, there is a linear projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ such that X is isomorphically mapped to a closed subvariety X' of $Z^{(1)}$. By Proposition 3.1(i), X is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^r$. Hence, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1))$ is not surjective.

Since $\dim(Z^{(1)}) = \dim(X) + \delta + 1 = \dim(X') + 1$ and $\text{Pic}(Z^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(1))$, we know that $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(X') = \mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(k_0)$ for some positive integer k_0 . The surjection of the map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(k_0)) \rightarrow H^0(Z^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(k_0))$ implies that X' is the scheme-theoretic intersection of $Z^{(1)}$ and a hypersurface of degree k_0 in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Hence, X' is a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. This implies that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1))$ is surjective, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *We have $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i = n + c - 2\delta$ and $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i^2 \leq 3n + c$. In particular, $\delta \geq \frac{n+c-\sqrt{c(3n+c)}}{2}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(ii), $\dim(Z^{(1)}) = n + \delta + 1$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.4, $\dim(Z^{(1)}) = r - 1 + c - \sum_{i=1}^c d_i$. Thus, $r = \sum_{i=1}^c d_i + n + \delta + 2 - c$.

By Proposition 3.1(i), $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i = n + c - 2\delta$.

If $n = 1$, then by the formula $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i = n + c - 2\delta$, we get that $\delta = 0$, $c = 1$ and $d_1 = 2$. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i^2 \leq 3n + c$ holds when $n = 1$. Now we assume that $n \geq 2$. Then by Proposition 4.1, $\delta > 0$ and $\text{Pic}(Z^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{(1)}}(1))$. Then $Z^{(1)}$ is a prime Fano manifold with index $i(Z^{(1)}) \geq 2$ by Proposition 3.3(iii). By Proposition 3.4, the index $i(Z^{(1)}) = r + c - \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{d_i(d_i+1)}{2}$. Combining with

$i(Z^{(1)}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i = n + c - 2\delta$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^c d_i^2 \leq 3n + c$. Remark that $(\sum_{i=1}^c d_i)^2 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^c d_i^2$. Then we have $\delta = \frac{n+c-\sum_{i=1}^c d_i}{2} \geq \frac{n+c-\sqrt{c(3n+c)}}{2}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $e_1 = \sum_{i=1}^c (d_i - 2)$ and $e_2 = \sum_{i=1}^c (d_i - 2)^2$. Then $e_1 = n - 2\delta - c$ and $e_2 \leq 8\delta + c - n$. In particular, $c \leq n - 2\delta$ and $\delta \geq \frac{n-c}{8}$.*

Proof. Remark that all $d_i \geq 2$. Then $e_1 \geq 0$ and $e_2 \geq 0$. So the conclusion is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2. $\square$

There is a classification of the cases where $c = 1$ and $d_1 = 2$ due to Staglianò as follows:

Proposition 4.4. *([17, Thm. 6.1]) Assume that $c = 1$ and $d_1 = 2$. Then Y is a Severi variety and X is a nonsingular hyperplane section of a Severi variety.*

As a consequence, we get the following

Corollary 4.5. *Assume $\delta = 0$. Then $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ is a 4-dimensional nonsingular quadric hypersurface, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ is projectively equivalent to $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ and $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$ is projectively equivalent to a nonsingular hyperplane section of $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, $n = 1$. Then by Proposition 4.2, $c = 1$ and $d_1 = 2$. So the conclusion follows from Proposition 4.4 and the classification of Severi varieties (see Proposition 2.2). $\square$

4.2 Hypersurfaces cases

In this subsection, we study the case where $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a hypersurface of degree d , namely $c = 1$ and $d_1 = d$. This has been studied by Staglianò in [17]. We need the following result from him.

Proposition 4.6. *([17, Prop. 4.4]) Assume $\delta > 0$, and X is not a nonsingular hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *X is a prime Fano manifold with index $i(X) = \frac{n+\delta}{2}$.*
- (ii) *The Hilbert polynomial $P_X(t)$ of X satisfies that $P_X(0) = 1$, $P_X(1) = r + 1$, $P_X(2) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} - 1$, $P_X(t) = 0$ for $-i(X) + 1 \leq t \leq -1$, and $P_X(t) = (-1)^n P_X(-t - i(X))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, when the coindex $c(X) := n + 1 - i(X) \leq 5$, $P_X(t)$ is uniquely determined.*

Example 4.7. Now we compute the Hilbert polynomial $P_X(t)$ for some possible values of (n, δ) with $\delta > 0$.

If $(n, \delta) = (8, 2)$. Then $r = 16$ by Proposition 3.1(i). By Proposition 4.6, X is prime Fano of index 5. Let $Q(t) = P_X(\frac{t-5}{2})$. Then $Q(t)$ is an even polynomial function of degree 8 with four roots ± 1 and ± 3 . Thus, we can denote by $Q(t) = (t^2 - 1)(t^2 - 9)(a_4 t^4 + a_2 t^2 + a_0)$. Since $Q(5) = 1$, $Q(7) = 17$

and $Q(9) = 135$, we get $(a_4, a_2, a_0) = (\frac{36}{2^8 \cdot 8!}, \frac{24}{2^8 \cdot 8!}, \frac{3780}{2^8 \cdot 8!})$. Thus, $\deg(X) = 36$ and

$$P_X(t) = Q(2t+5) = \frac{1}{8!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 (t+i) \right) (36t^4 + 360t^3 + 1374t^2 + 1245t + 1680).$$

Similarly, if $(n, \delta) = (12, 4)$, then $\deg(X) = 84$ and

$$P_X(t) = \frac{1}{12!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^7 (t+i) \right) (t+4) (84t^4 + 1344t^3 + 8052t^2 + 21408t + 23760).$$

Proposition 4.8. *If either $d \leq 5$ or $\delta > \frac{n}{3}$, then $d = 2$.*

Proof. If $\delta > \frac{n}{3}$, then $(n, \delta) = (7, 3), (10, 4), (13, 5), (14, 6)$, or $(15, 7)$ by Proposition 3.18. By Proposition 4.2, the corresponding d is 2, 3, 4, 3, or 2 respectively. Thus, we only need to exclude the cases with $3 \leq d \leq 5$. Remark that $\deg(Z^{(1)}) = d!$ by Proposition 3.4. If $d \geq 3$, then $d!$ divides $\deg(X)$ by Proposition 3.6.

Case 1. Assume $d = 5$. By the discussion above, $\delta \leq \frac{n}{3}$. By Proposition 4.2, $8 \leq n \leq 12$, and $\delta = \frac{n}{2} - 2$. By Proposition 4.6(i), $n \neq 9, 10$, or 11. Then $(n, \delta) = (8, 2)$ or $(12, 4)$. By Example 4.7, $\deg(X) = 36$ or 84 respectively. However, $\deg(Z^{(1)}) = 120$, and it divides neither 36 nor 84. We get a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume $d = 4$. By [17, Prop. 8.3], the only possible values of $(n, \delta, r, \deg(X))$ are $(9, 3, 17, 35)$ and $(13, 5, 23, 82)$. On the other hand, $\deg(Z^{(1)}) = 24$, and it divides neither 35 nor 82. It is a contradiction.

Case 3. Assume $d = 3$. The only possible values of $(n, \delta, r, \deg(X))$ are $(10, 4, 18, 34)$ and $(14, 6, 24, 80)$ by [17, Prop. 8.2]. However, $\deg(Z^{(1)}) = 6$, and it divides neither 34 nor 80. It is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *If $\delta \leq 2$, then $d = 2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, $n \leq 8$ and $d \leq 5$. Hence, $d = 2$ by Proposition 4.8. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.4, it suffices to show $d = 2$. Now assume that $d \geq 3$. By Proposition 4.2, $d = n + 1 - 2\delta$. Since $d \geq 3$, $n \neq \delta$. By Corollary 4.9, $\delta \geq 3$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (iii), $\delta \leq 2[\log_2 n] + 2$. On the other hand, $\delta \geq \frac{n+1-\sqrt{3n+1}}{2}$ by Proposition 4.2. Hence, $n \leq 33$ and $\delta \leq 12$.

By Proposition 2.3 (ii) and the formulae $d = n + 1 - 2\delta$ and $d^2 \leq 3n + 1$ in Proposition 4.2, the possible values of (n, δ, d) are $(26, 10, 7), (25, 9, 8), (15, 7, 2), (18, 6, 7), (14, 6, 3), (13, 5, 4), (12, 4, 5), (10, 4, 3), (9, 3, 4)$ and $(7, 3, 2)$. By Proposition 4.8, only the case $(n, \delta, d) = (18, 6, 7)$ is possible.

By Proposition 2.3 (i), $X^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{17}$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension 10 such that $\delta(X^{(1)}) = 4$ and $\text{Sec}(X^{(1)}) = \mathbb{P}^{17}$, and $X^{(2)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension 5 such that $\delta(X^{(2)}) = 2$ and $\text{Sec}(X^{(2)}) = \mathbb{P}^9$. By Proposition

2.3(iv), $X^{(2)}$ is not a prime Fano manifold. Then $X^{(2)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ is projectively equivalent to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^4 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^9$ by Corollary 2.8. Since the VMRT of $X^{(1)}$ at a general point is projectively equivalent to the VMRT of $\mathbb{G}(1, 6)$ at a general point, we know that $X^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}(1, 6)$ (see for example [14, Main Thm.]). Since both $X^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{17}$ and $\mathbb{G}(1, 6) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{17}$ are covered by lines, the isomorphism between them are induced by a linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(1)|$. Thus, $\dim(H^0(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}(1))) = \dim(H^0(\mathbb{G}(1, 6), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, 6)}(1))) \geq 21$. On the other hand, the fact $\text{Sec}(X^{(1)}) = \mathbb{P}^{17}$ implies that the QEL-manifold $X^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{17}$ is linearly normal (see Subsection 2.3), which is a contradiction. $\square$

4.3 When Y is smooth

Now we return to the case where $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a complete intersection of type $(d_1, \dots, d_c)$. Firstly, we need a technique result on Severi varieties.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a non-degenerate Severi variety, and $M \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a c -codimensional complete intersection of type $(2, \dots, 2)$ containing V . If M is smooth and connected, then $c = 1$, i.e. M is a quadric hypersurface containing V .*

In fact, the statement of this Lemma appears in [2, Example 3.24(i)] without proof.

Proof. Assume that M is smooth and connected, and $c \geq 2$. By Proposition 2.2, $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is projectively equivalent to $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$, $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$, $\mathbb{G}(1, 5) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$, or $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{26}$. In all cases, $\dim(V) > \frac{\dim(M)}{2}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\deg(M) = 2^c$ divides $\deg(V)$. Since $\deg(V) = 4, 6, 14$, or 78 in the corresponding four cases (see for example [18, page 15-16]), we get that $c = 2$, $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is projectively equivalent to $\nu_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ and $\deg(M) = \deg(V)$. By the isomorphism $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^N) \cong \text{Pic}(M)$ and the fact V is a divisor on M with the same degree as projective varieties, we know that $\mathcal{O}_M(V) = \mathcal{O}_M(1)$. Since the natural map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(1))$ is surjective, there is a hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^N$ such that $V = H \cap V$, which contradicts the fact that $V = \nu_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ is non-degenerate. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.11, $c \geq n - 2\delta$. Then by Corollary 4.3, $c = n - 2\delta$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_c = 2$. In particular, Z is a quadratic manifold. By Corollary 3.10, $\text{Sec}(Y) \cap Z = D_{\mathbb{P}}$. Hence, $\text{Sec}(Y) \neq \mathbb{P}^N$. Note that $m = \frac{2}{3}(N - 2)$ by Proposition 3.1(i)(iv), and Y is nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}^N$ by Proposition 3.8. Hence, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a Severi variety by definition. By Lemma 4.10, $c = 1$. The rest follows from Proposition 4.4. $\square$

Now we want to apply Theorem 1.2 to study the cases where Z is a quadratic projective manifold. To do this, we need some properties on complete intersections.

Proposition 4.11. ([10, Thm. 3.8(4)]) *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a quadratic manifold of dimension $n > \frac{2r}{3}$. Then V is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^r$.*

Proposition 4.12. ([10, Thm. 2.4(4)]) *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate prime Fano manifold. Suppose $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a complete intersection such that $\dim(V^{(1)}) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(V) \leq \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(V^{(1)})$.*

As a application of these results, we get the following

Corollary 4.13. *Assume that $r \leq \frac{9}{5}n + 2$, Y is smooth, and $Z \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a nondegenerate prime Fano quadratic projective manifold (we do not assume Z to be a complete intersection). Then Z is a quadric hypersurface, Y is a Severi variety and X is a hyperplane section of a Severi variety.*

Proof. Remark that $\dim(Z^{(1)}) = n + \delta + 1$ by Proposition 3.3(ii), and $r = 2n + 2 - \delta$ by Proposition 3.1(i). Since $r \leq \frac{9}{5}n + 2$, we have $\delta \geq \frac{n}{5}$. Hence, $\dim(Z^{(1)}) > \frac{2}{3}(r - 1)$. Moreover, $Z^{(1)}$ is a (possibly reducible) quadratic manifold (see Subsection 2.2). By Proposition 3.3(i), $Z^{(1)}$ is irreducible. Then $Z^{(1)}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ by Proposition 4.11. By Proposition 4.12, $c \leq r - 1 - \dim(Z^{(1)}) = n - 2\delta$. Hence, $r > \frac{2N}{3}$. By Proposition 4.11, Z is a complete intersection. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2. $\square$

5 Proof of Proposition 3.17

Recall that a QEL-manifold $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is called a SQEL-manifold, if a general point $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$ satisfies that for any point $u' \in C_u \setminus V$, we have $\Sigma_{u'} = \Sigma_u$. To complete our proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to prove Proposition 3.17. Our aim in this section is to prove Proposition 3.17, which claims the non-existence of nondegenerate 25-dimensional quadratic SQEL-manifolds in $\mathbb{P}^{43}$ with secant defect 9.

In Subsection 5.1, we study the properties of general entry loci on a SQEL-manifold and prove Proposition 3.17 assuming the following Proposition 5.1. Then we prove Proposition 5.1 in Subsection 5.2, which also requires a detailed study of entry loci on SQEL-manifold.

Proposition 5.1. *There does not exist any 15-dimensional quadratic SQEL-manifold $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{24}$ such that $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^{24}$.*

5.1 General entry loci

Throughout this subsection, we assume that $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a nondegenerate SQEL-manifold of dimension n such that the secant defect $1 \leq \delta(V) < n$.

Denote by $U_g(V)$ the set of points $u \in \text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$ such that the entry locus Σ_u is an irreducible and smooth quadric hypersurface of dimension $\delta(V)$, and $\Sigma_{u'} = \Sigma_u$ for any point $u' \in C_u \setminus V$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_g(V) = \{\Sigma_u \mid u \in U_g(V)\}$. Since V is a SQEL-manifold, we know that $U_g(V)$ contains a Zariski open dense subset $U^o(V)$ of $\text{Sec}(V) \setminus V$ and a general entry locus of V belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_g(V)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Keeping notation as above. Take $u \in U_g(V)$. Then $C_u \cap V = \Sigma_u$. If $u' \in U_g(V)$ satisfies $\Sigma_{u'} \neq \Sigma_u$, then $\Sigma_u \cap \Sigma_{u'} = C_u \cap C_{u'}$.*

Proof. Remark that Σ_u is a quadric hypersurface contained in $C_u = \mathbb{P}^{\delta(V)+1}$. Assume $C_u \cap V \neq \Sigma_u$. Then take $v \in (C_u \cap V) \setminus \Sigma_u$. There is a secant line l of Σ_u passing through v not contained in V , since $C_u = \bigcup_{v' \in \Sigma_u} \langle v, v' \rangle \not\subseteq V$.

Take $u_1 \in l \setminus V$. Then $v \in \Sigma_{u_1} = \Sigma_u$, where the equality follows from the fact $u \in U_g(V)$. This contradicts the choice of v . Hence, $C_u \cap V = \Sigma_u$.

Now assume $u' \in U_g(V)$ and $\Sigma_{u'} \neq \Sigma_u$. Then $C_u \cap C_{u'} \subseteq V$, since otherwise the existence of $u_2 \in (C_u \cap C_{u'}) \setminus V$ implies $\Sigma_u = \Sigma_{u_2} = \Sigma_{u'}$, which contradicts the choice of Σ_u and $\Sigma_{u'}$. Hence, $C_u \cap C_{u'} = (C_u \cap V) \cap (C_{u'} \cap V) = \Sigma_u \cap \Sigma_{u'}$. $\square$

Example 5.3. We consider the 10-dimensional Spinor variety $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$. Note that the secant variety $\text{Sec}(S^{10}) = \mathbb{P}^{15}$. It is known that (a) each entry locus of $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$ is a smooth connected quadric hypersurface of dimension 6, and (b) the intersection of any two different entry loci of $S^{10} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15}$ is either empty or a linear subspace of dimension 3. By (a), $U_g(S^{10}) = \mathbb{P}^{15} \setminus S^{10}$. Let M be the section of S^{10} by an arbitrary hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}^{15}$. Then by (a)(b), any entry locus of M is the intersection of H and an entry locus of S^{10} , and the intersection of two different entry loci of M is either empty or a linear subspace of dimension 2 or 3. For more details of this example, one can see [6, Lem. 5.11, Prop. 5.12, Cor. 5.13].

Lemma 5.4. *Assume $\delta(V) \geq 3$. Take a general point $v \in V$. Then $\mathcal{L}_v(V)$ is a SQEL-manifold.*

Proof. Since $\delta(V) > 0$, $\text{Sec}(V)$ is the closure of the union of embedded tangent spaces (see for example [19, Thm. 1.4]). Hence, for the general point $v \in V$, $U^o(V) \cap \mathbb{T}_v V$ is an open dense subset in $\mathbb{T}_v V$. Take a general hyperplane H of $\mathbb{T}_v V$. Then $U_g(V) \cap H \supseteq U^o(V) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $v \notin H$. We can identify H with $\mathbb{P}((T_v V)^*)$. Set $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_v(V)$. By Proposition 2.3 (i), $V^{(1)} \subseteq H$ is a QEL-manifold with secant defect $\delta(V) - 2 > 0$ and $\text{Sec}(V^{(1)}) = H$.

We claim that $U_g(V) \cap H \subseteq U_g(V^{(1)})$. If the claim holds, then $U_g(V^{(1)})$ contains an open dense subset $U^o(V) \cap H$ of H . As a consequence $V^{(1)}$ is then a SQEL-manifold.

Now we turn to the proof the claim. Recall that S_V is defined to be the closure of the set of triples (v_1, v_2, u) in $V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $u \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. Let p_i be the restriction to S_V of the i -th projection from $V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^r$. Then $p_3(p_1^{-1}(v))$ is the joint variety of v and V , i.e. it is the closure of the union of lines $\langle v, v_1 \rangle$ for $v_1 \in V \setminus \{v\}$. In particular, $\mathbb{T}_v V \subseteq p_3(p_1^{-1}(v))$. So for any $u_0 \in \mathbb{T}_v V$, we have $v \in p_1(p_3^{-1}(u_0))$. Take $u \in U_g(V) \cap H \subseteq \mathbb{T}_v V$. Then $\Sigma_u(V) = p_1(p_3^{-1}(u))$ is an irreducible smooth $\delta(V)$ -dimensional quadric hypersurface passing through v . Thus, $\Sigma_u(V) \cap H = \mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_u(V))$ is an irreducible smooth $(\delta(V) - 2)$ -dimensional quadric hypersurface contained in $V^{(1)}$, which implies that $\Sigma_u(V) \cap H = \Sigma_u(V) \cap V^{(1)}$. On the other hand, $u \notin V^{(1)}$, since otherwise $u \in U_g(V) \cap V^{(1)} \subseteq U_g(V) \cap V = \emptyset$. By the definition of entry loci,

$$\Sigma_u(V^{(1)}) \subseteq \Sigma_u(V) \cap V^{(1)} = \Sigma_u(V) \cap H.$$

Thus, $u \in C_u(V^{(1)}) \subseteq C_u(V) \cap H$. Since $\Sigma_u(V) \cap V^{(1)} = \Sigma_u(V) \cap H$ is a quadric hypersurface in $C_u(V) \cap H$, we get that $\Sigma_u(V) \cap H \subseteq \Sigma_u(V^{(1)})$. Thus, $\Sigma_u(V^{(1)}) = \Sigma_u(V) \cap H$ is an irreducible smooth $(\delta(V) - 2)$ -dimensional quadric hypersurface.

Now take any point $u' \in C_u(V^{(1)}) \setminus V^{(1)}$, then $u' \in C_u(V) \cap H$. Moreover, $u' \notin V$, since otherwise

$$u' \in V \cap C_u(V^{(1)}) \subseteq V \cap C_u(V) \cap H = \Sigma_u(V) \cap H = \Sigma_u(V^{(1)}) \subseteq V^{(1)},$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 5.2. Since $u' \in C_u(V) \setminus V$ and $u \in U_g(V)$, we get that $\Sigma_{u'}(V) = \Sigma_u(V)$ and $C_{u'}(V) = C_u(V)$. This implies that $u' \in U_g(V)$. Hence, $u' \in U_g(V) \cap H$ and

$$\Sigma_u(V^{(1)}) = \Sigma_u(V) \cap H = \Sigma_{u'}(V) \cap H = \Sigma_{u'}(V^{(1)}),$$

where the third equality follows from the same argument as the first one (see the discussion in the last paragraph). Thus, $u, u' \in U_g(V^{(1)})$ and $U_g(V) \cap H \subseteq U_g(V^{(1)})$. So the claim holds. $\square$

Now we can prove Proposition 3.17, assuming that Proposition 5.1 holds.

Proof of Proposition 3.17. By Proposition 2.3(i), $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{24}$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension 15 with secant defect 7 and $\text{Sec}(V^{(1)}) = \mathbb{P}^{24}$. Moreover, $V^{(1)}$ is a quadratic manifold (see Subsection 2.2), and a SQEL-manifold by Lemma 5.4. However, by Proposition 5.1, such a quadratic SQEL-manifold as $V^{(1)}$ does not exist. The conclusion follows. $\square$

5.2 Proof of Proposition 5.1

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety. Take a general point $v \in V$. Denote by $\pi_v : V \dashrightarrow V'$ the restriction to V of the linear projection from $\mathbb{T}_v(V)$. We call the rational map π_v the *tangential projection* at v . Let $\pi : V \dashrightarrow V'$ be a rational map. Take a point $v' \in V'$. For the convenience of discussion, we use $\pi^{-1}(v')$ to denote the closure of the fiber of v' .

To prove Proposition 5.1, we need to recall some properties on tangential projections and birational maps.

Proposition 5.5. ([8, Thm. 2.3]) *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a QEL-manifold with secant defect $\delta(V) > 0$. Take a general point $v \in V$. Denote by $\pi_v : V \dashrightarrow V'$ the tangential projection at v . Then for a general point $y \in V$, $\pi_v^{-1}(\pi_v(y))$ is the entry locus of a general point $p \in \langle v, y \rangle$, i.e. a smooth quadric hypersurface.*

Proposition 5.6. ([3, Prop. 1.3]) *Let $f : V' \dashrightarrow V$ be a proper birational map between two smooth projective varieties. Let $M = \{v \in V \mid \dim(f^{-1}(v)) \geq 1\}$ and $E = f^{-1}(M)$. Suppose that $\text{Pic}(V') = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Then E is irreducible.*

Proposition 5.7. ([3, Thm. 1.1]) *Let $f : V' \rightarrow V$ be a proper birational morphism between two smooth varieties. Let $M = \{v \in V \mid \dim(f^{-1}(v)) \geq 1\}$, $E = f^{-1}(M)$ and $E_1 = (E)_{\text{red}}$. Assume that M is smooth and E_1 is an irreducible divisor. Then $E = E_1$ and V' is the blow up of V along M .*

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Assume such a projective manifold V exists. Then the secant defect $\delta(V) = 7$. Take a general point $u \in \mathbb{P}^{24}$. We can assume $u \in U_g(V)$. Then the entry locus Σ_u is a 7-dimensional irreducible smooth quadric hypersurface, and the secant cone C_u of the entry locus is an 8-dimensional linear subspace. Consider the linear projection $\mathbb{P}^{24} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{15}$ from C_u . Denote by $\pi : V \dashrightarrow \tilde{V}$ the restriction to V of the linear projection, where $\tilde{V} = \pi(V)$. Remark that $C_u \cap V = \Sigma_u$ by Lemma 5.2.

We claim that for any $y \in V \setminus \Sigma_u$, $\pi^{-1}\pi(y)$ is a linear space and $\pi^{-1}\pi(y) \cap \Sigma_u$ is a hyperplane of $\pi^{-1}\pi(y)$. The proof of this claim is the same with the discussion in [2, Prop. 3.15]. Assume that y_1 and y_2 are two distinct points in $V \setminus \Sigma_u$ such that $\pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$. Denote by $u' = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \cap C_u$. Then $u' \in \Sigma_u$, since otherwise $\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq \Sigma_u = \Sigma_{u'}$ implying a contradiction, where the equality follows from the fact V is a SQEL-manifold. Remark that V is a quadratic manifold, and the line $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ intersects with V at three distinct points y_1, y_2 and u' . Thus, $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \subseteq V$. So the claim holds.

Denote by

$$M = \overline{\{(v_1, v_2) \in \Sigma_u \times (V \setminus \Sigma_u) \mid \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \subseteq V\}} \subseteq \Sigma_u \times V.$$

Let p_1 and p_2 be the two projections from M to Σ_u and V respectively. Denote by $V_u = p_2(M)$. Then by the claim above,

$$V_u = \overline{\{v \in V \setminus \Sigma_u \mid \dim(\pi^{-1}\pi(v)) \geq 1\}}.$$

Take a general point $v \in \Sigma_u$. Then v is general in V . By Proposition 2.3(i), $\mathcal{L}_v(V)$ is an irreducible variety of dimension 9, which implies that $p_1^{-1}(v)$ is an irreducible variety of dimension 10. Hence, there is a unique irreducible component $\tilde{M}$ of M dominating Σ_u by p_1 . Moreover, $\dim(\tilde{M}) = 17$. Denote by $\tilde{V}_u = p_2(\tilde{M})$ and $\tilde{p}_2 = p_2|_{\tilde{M}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_u$. Denote by c the codimension of $\tilde{V}_u$ in V . Since $\dim(\tilde{M}) > 2\dim(\Sigma_u)$, we get that $\tilde{V}_u \setminus \Sigma_u \neq \emptyset$. Take an arbitrary point $y \in \tilde{V}_u \setminus \Sigma_u$. Then $\dim(p_2^{-1}(y)) \geq \dim(\tilde{M}) - \dim(\tilde{V}_u) = c + 2$. Moreover, $p_2^{-1}(y) = (\pi^{-1}\pi(y) \cap \Sigma_u) \times \{y\}$, and $\pi^{-1}\pi(y) \cap \Sigma_u$ is a hyperplane in the linear space $\pi^{-1}\pi(y)$. Since Σ_u is a smooth quadric hypersurface, we get that $\dim(p_2^{-1}(y)) \leq \frac{\dim(\Sigma_u)}{2}$. So $c = 0$ or 1 . In particular, $\dim(V_u) \geq \dim(\tilde{V}_u) \geq 14$.

Case 1: Assume $\dim(V_u) = 15$. Then $V_u = V$ is irreducible. Remark that for any point $y \in V \setminus \Sigma_u$, $p_2^{-1}(y)$ is irreducible. Thus, $M \cap (\Sigma_u \times (V \setminus \Sigma_u))$ is irreducible. So M is irreducible. In particular, $\tilde{M} = M$ and $\tilde{V}_u = V_u = V$. Take a general point $v \in \Sigma_u$. Now we consider the linear projection $\mathbb{P}^{24} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{16}$ from the tangent space $\mathbb{T}_v \Sigma_u$. Denote by $\pi_u : V \dashrightarrow \bar{V}$ the restriction to V of this projection, where $\bar{V} = \pi_u(V)$. Then $\pi = \pi_p \circ \pi_u$, where $p = \pi_u(C_u)$ is a point in $\bar{V}$, and $\pi_p : \bar{V} \dashrightarrow \pi_p(\bar{V})$ is the restriction to $\bar{V}$ of the projection $\mathbb{P}^{16} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{15}$

from p . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi & | \pi_u & \searrow \pi_v & \\
 \tilde{V} & \xleftarrow{\pi_p} & \bar{V} & \xrightarrow{\pi_v} & \pi_v(V),
 \end{array}$$

where $\pi_v : V \dashrightarrow \pi_v(V)$ the tangential projection at v . Remark that π , π_u and π_v are restrictions to V of linear projections from $\mathbb{P}^{24}$ with the center being C_u , $\mathbb{T}_v \Sigma_u$ and $\mathbb{T}_v V$, respectively.

Take a general point $v' \in V$. Since $\pi^{-1}\pi(v')$ is a linear space and $\pi^{-1}\pi(v') \cap \Sigma_u = \pi^{-1}\pi(v') \cap C_u$ is a hyperplane of $\pi^{-1}\pi(v')$, we get that $\pi_u(\pi^{-1}\pi(v'))$ is a line passing through $p \in \bar{V}$. Hence, $\pi_u^{-1}\pi_u(v')$ is a hyperplane of $\pi^{-1}\pi(v')$. Thus,

$$\dim(\pi_u^{-1}\pi_u(v')) = \dim(\pi^{-1}\pi(v')) - 1 = \dim(\tilde{M}) - \dim(\tilde{V}_u) = 2.$$

By Proposition 5.5, the fiber $\pi_v^{-1}\pi_v(v')$ is the entry locus $\Sigma_{u'}$ passing through v and v' , where $u' \in \langle v, v' \rangle$ and $u' \notin V$. By the generality of the choice of u , v and v' , we get that $u' \in U_g(V)$, and $\Sigma_u \neq \Sigma_{u'}$. Note that $u \in U_g(V)$. Then by Lemma 5.2, $\Sigma_u \cap \Sigma_{u'} = C_u \cap C_{u'}$ is a linear subspace. Let $\mathbb{P}_{uu'} = C_u \cap C_{u'}$, $\mathbb{P}_{uv'} = \langle v', \mathbb{P}_{uu'} \rangle$, and $s = \dim(\mathbb{P}_{uu'})$. If $\mathbb{P}_{uv'} \subseteq \Sigma_{u'}$, then $\langle v, v' \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{uv'} \subseteq \Sigma_{u'} \subseteq V$. By the generality of v and v' , V is a linear subspace. This contradicts the assumption $\text{Sec}(V) = \mathbb{P}^{24}$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}_{uv'} \not\subseteq \Sigma_{u'}$. Remark that $\Sigma_{u'} \subseteq C_{u'}$ is a quadric hypersurface and $\mathbb{P}_{uv'} \subseteq C_{u'}$. Then there is an s -dimensional linear subspace $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{uu'}$ containing v' such that $\mathbb{P}_{uv'} \cap \Sigma_{u'} = \mathbb{P}_{uu'} \cup \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{uu'}$. Hence,

$$\pi_u^{-1}\pi_u(v') = \overline{(\langle \mathbb{T}_v \Sigma_u, v' \rangle \cap \Sigma_{u'}) \setminus \Sigma_u} = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{uu'}.$$

Thus, $s = \dim(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{uu'}) = \dim(\pi_u^{-1}\pi_u(v')) = 2$.

By Proposition 2.3(i), $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ is a QEL-manifold of dimension 9 with secant defect 5. Then by Proposition 2.4, $V^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ is projectively equivalent to a nonsingular section of S^{10} by a hyperplane L in $\mathbb{P}^{15}$. Note that the intersection $\mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_u) \cap \mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_{u'}) = \mathcal{L}_v(\mathbb{P}_{uu'})$ is a projective line. Since $\mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_u)$ and $\mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_{u'})$ are two different entry loci of $V^{(1)}$ with a nonempty intersection, we know from Example 5.3 that $\dim(\mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_u) \cap \mathcal{L}_v(\Sigma_{u'})) \geq 2$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2: Assume $\dim(V_u) = 14$. Then $\dim(\tilde{V}_u) = 14$ and $c = 1$. Since the closures of fibers of π are linear, it is a birational map with exceptional locus V_u (set-theoretically), and $\tilde{V} = \pi(V) = \mathbb{P}^{15}$.

Denote by $V' = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_u} V$, and $f : V' \rightarrow V$ the blow-up morphism. Since V is a quadratic manifold, Σ_u is the scheme-theoretic intersection of C_u and V . Hence, Σ_u is the base locus scheme of π . Hence, there is a morphism $g : V' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{15}$ such that $\pi = g \circ f^{-1}$. Equip V_u with the reduced closed subscheme structure. Denote by $V'_u = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_u} V_u$ and $B = g(V'_u)$. So we have the following commutative

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& V'_u = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_u} V_u & & & \\
& \swarrow f|_{V'_u} & \downarrow & \searrow g|_{V'_u} & \\
& V' = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_u} V & & & \\
& \swarrow f & & \searrow g & \\
V_u \longrightarrow V & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} & \tilde{V} = \mathbb{P}^{15} & \longleftarrow B = g(V'_u),
\end{array}$$

where the morphisms $V_u \rightarrow V$, $V'_u \rightarrow V'$ and $B \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ are natural inclusions.

Let $E_V = f^{-1}(\Sigma_u)$, and H_V (resp. H) be the pull-back of a hyperplane section of V (resp. of $\mathbb{P}^{15}$). Denote by $K_{V'}$ the canonical divisor of V' . Since $V' = \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_u} V$, we get that

$$\text{Pic}(V') = \mathbb{Z}(H_V) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(E_V); \quad (5.1)$$

$$-K_{V'} = 11H_V - 7E_V; \quad (5.2)$$

$$H = H_V - E_V. \quad (5.3)$$

Let $B_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{P}^{15} \mid \dim(g^{-1}(y)) \geq 1\}$. For any point $v \in V'_u \setminus E_V$,

$$\dim(g^{-1}g(v)) = \dim(f^{-1}\pi^{-1}\pi f(v)) = \dim(\pi^{-1}\pi f(v)) \geq 1,$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that $\pi^{-1}\pi f(v)$ is a linear subspace (hence an irreducible variety) not contained in Σ_u . In particular, $g(V'_u \setminus E_V) \subseteq B_1$. Hence, $B = g(V'_u) \subseteq B_1$ and $V'_u \subseteq (g^{-1}(B))_{\text{red}} \subseteq (g^{-1}(B_1))_{\text{red}}$. By Proposition 5.6, $g^{-1}(B_1)$ is irreducible. Since $\dim(V'_u) = \dim(V') - 1$, we get that $V'_u = (g^{-1}(B))_{\text{red}} = (g^{-1}(B_1))_{\text{red}}$, and V'_u is an irreducible divisor. Thus, $\tilde{V}_u = V_u = f(V'_u)$, $B = g(V'_u) = B_1$, and V_u and B are irreducible.

Remark that $B = \pi(V_u) = \pi(\tilde{V}_u)$ and $V_u = \pi^{-1}(B)$. For a general point $b \in B$, $\pi^{-1}(b)$ is a linear subspace such that

$$\dim(\pi^{-1}(b)) = \dim(\tilde{M}) - \dim(\tilde{V}_u) + 1 = 4,$$

and $\pi^{-1}(b) \cap C_u$ is a linear subspace of dimension 3 contained in Σ_u . Thus,

$$\dim(B) = \dim(V_u) - \dim(\pi^{-1}(b)) = 10.$$

Denote by $B^o = B \setminus \text{Sing}(B)$, and $U = \mathbb{P}^{15} \setminus \text{Sing}(B)$, where $\text{Sing}(B)$ is the singular locus of B . By Proposition 5.7, $g^{-1}(B^o) = g^{-1}(B^o)_{\text{red}} = V'_u \cap g^{-1}(U)$, and $g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is the blow up of U along B_1^o . Hence, the canonical divisor $K_{g^{-1}(U)} = g^*(K_U) + 4V'_u|_{g^{-1}(U)}$. So $-K_{V'} = 16H - 4V'_u$. Combining with the formulae (5.2)(5.3), we get $V'_u = \frac{5}{4}H_V - \frac{9}{4}E_V$. Remark that $\text{Pic}(V') = \mathbb{Z}(H_V) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(E_V)$. Then V'_u is not a Cartier divisor, which contradicts the smoothness of V' . This finishes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Alzati, J. C. Sierra, Special birational transformations of projective spaces. arXiv:1203.5690v2, 2013.
- [2] A. Alzati, J. C. Sierra, Quadro-quadric special birational transformations of projective spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2013), doi:10.1093/imrn/rnt173.
- [3] L. Ein, N. Shepherd-Barron, Some special Cremona transformations. *Amer. J. Math.* 111 (1989), no. 5, 783-800.
- [4] B. Fu, Inductive characterizations of hyperquadrics. *Math. Ann.* 340 (2008), no. 1, 185-194.
- [5] B. Fu, J.-M. Hwang, Classification of non-degenerate projective varieties with non-zero prolongation and application to target rigidity. *Invent. Math.* 189 (2012), no. 2, 457-513.
- [6] B. Fu, J.-M. Hwang, Special birational transformations of type $(2, 1)$. arXiv: 1501.04410, 2014.
- [7] J.-M. Hwang, Geometry of minimal rational curves on Fano manifolds. *School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry* (Trieste, 2000), 335-393, ICTP Lect. Notes, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.
- [8] P. Ionescu, F. Russo, Varieties with quadratic entry locus. II. *Compos. Math.* 144 (2008), no. 4, 949-962.
- [9] P. Ionescu, F. Russo, Conic-connected manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 644 (2010), 145-157.
- [10] P. Ionescu, F. Russo, Manifolds covered by lines and the Hartshorne conjecture for quadratic manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* 135 (2013), no. 2, 349-360.
- [11] J. Kollár, Rational curves on algebraic varieties, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [12] Q. Li, Subvarieties of small codimension in smooth projective varieties. *Sci. China Math.* (2014), doi: 10.1007/s11425-014-4880-5.
- [13] M. Mella, F. Russo, Special Cremona transformations whose base locus has dimension at most three (2005). Preprint.
- [14] N. Mok, Recognizing certain rational homogeneous manifolds of Picard number 1 from their varieties of minimal rational tangents. *Third International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2*, 41-61, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 42, pt. 1, 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008
- [15] F. Russo, Varieties with quadratic entry locus. I. *Math. Ann.* 344 (2009), no. 3, 597-617.

- [16] F. Russo, Lines on projective varieties and applications. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) 61 (2012), no. 1, 47-64.
- [17] G. Staglianò, On special quadratic birational transformations of a projective space into a hypersurface. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) 61 (2012), no. 3, 403-429.
- [18] G. Staglianò, On special quadratic birational transformations of a projective space. *arXiv: 1302.5579*, 2013.
- [19] F. L. Zak, Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. vol. 127, Amer. Math. Soc. 1993

Qifeng Li

Institute of Mathematics, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

55 Zhongguancun East Road, Beijing, 100190, P. R. China

E-mail address: qifengli@amss.ac.cn